

DRAFT

## The Kitsilano Parent Advisory Committee (Kits PAC) Survey 2009 February

Section 1      Notes about the Kits PAC Survey

Section 2      The Survey

Section 3      Appendix A

Section 4      Appendix B

- survey respondents were contacted by notice in both the school and Pac e-mail news, links on both the school and PAC web sites, PAC volunteer canvassing in local public areas and during the open houses and Visioning workshop.
- the survey was conducted from January 19 to February 15, 2009
- rather than a professional survey conducted by an independent consultant, this is an amateur survey using a free web service (surveymonkey.com) conducted by PAC volunteers
- the free web service does not include a professional way to check survey responses for mischief submissions.
- Survey respondent categories were staff, students, Kitsilano parents, feeder school parents, alumni and other community members. Kitsilano parent responses are less than 50% of the total composition.
- the survey was done without the benefit of information about the cost differences between options (see Appendix A for examples).
- teachers views from the survey are very important (they are working in the school building with our students) and therefore it is very important for PAC to note that on the survey, the teacher response results are significantly different.(see Appendix B, which has survey section 5 results as an example) .These differences indicate that it is **very important to continue informing the stake holder groups about options in order to improve understanding and cooperation**. Our students need the cooperation of their teachers on this project for our students to benefit from their teachers' expertise on design matters. Teacher and parent participation in the survey may well be in the same percentage range. Further investigation of these differences, including surveying larger samples, may lead to different conclusions.
- PAC will be analyzing the survey results for further valuable information.

#### Survey population:

Total number of students attending Kitsilano is ?

Total number of Teachers at Kitsilano (full and part time) is ?

Total number of Kitsilano Parents can only be approximated from the number of Kitsilano students.

Regards total number of feeder school parents, there are 7? feeder schools.

## Section 2

### The Survey

(replace current summary (547 responses) in consultant's report with the latest summary (which will be 553 responses - after the test response is deleted)

Include all the your idea comments.

The survey was done without the benefit of information about the cost differences between options. Missing costs examples (regards survey respondents were not supplied information about or asked about the following):

- A December 2007 report gave cost estimates including 40 year life cycle calculations for 4 generic options. The difference from lowest cost option to highest cost option was 23 million dollars. The most expensive option was full heritage retention. December 2008 at a meeting of the Kits PAC with our MLA, the Premier, there was agreement that it was reasonable to further investigate heritage options as it was a reasonable assumption that the 2007 higher cost for heritage could be lower due to a number of changes, ie. improved seismic upgrading engineering methods and increased valuation, due to green issues for recycling the building, in heritage retention. Hence the inclusion of heritage options in the survey, but without cost details.
- The additional cost of not only seismically updating the 1927 classroom block, but also refurbishing ie, when Van. Tech's building was preserved as heritage, it was seismically upgraded, but not refurbished.
- The heritage retention option has costs (both dollar and ie. health, convenience and student community) as students and teachers will need to be accommodated in portables and/or an other school. The information about the new school option not requiring portables and/or another school was also not provided with the survey.

## KITS SURVEY:

**5. Rank choices 1 to 4 (1 for 1st choice, 4 for last choice)**

- Teachers (32 responded to survey)

					<i>answered question</i>	25
					<i>skipped question</i>	7
<b>Rank</b>						
	1	2	3	4	Response Count	
a. Build an entirely new school towards the south end of the site (Main Gym may be retained).	72.0% (18)	20.0% (5)	8.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	25	
b. Build all-new school facilities with the exception of significant portions of the 1927 heritage classroom block which would be seismically upgraded and refurbished.	8.0% (2)	40.0% (10)	32.0% (8)	20.0% (5)	25	
c. Seismically upgrade all existing facilities.	12.5% (3)	8.3% (2)	29.2% (7)	50.0% (12)	24	
d. Other. Please describe, as part of Question No. 5 below.	21.4% (3)	21.4% (3)	21.4% (3)	35.7% (5)	14	

KITS SURVEY:

This needs to be replaced with summary for 553 responses after test response is deleted

**5. Rank choices 1 to 4 (1 for 1st choice, 4 for last choice).**

- All respondents (554 responded to survey)

					<i>answered question</i>	436
					<i>skipped question</i>	118
<b>Rank</b>						
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>Response Count</b>	
a. Build an entirely new school towards the south end of the site (Main Gym may be retained).	22.1% (94)	15.8% (67)	25.9% (110)	<b>36.2% (154)</b>	425	
b. Build all-new school facilities with the exception of significant portions of the 1927 heritage classroom block which would be seismically upgraded and refurbished.	<b>39.0% (167)</b>	<b>39.0% (167)</b>	16.6% (71)	5.4% (23)	428	
c. Seismically upgrade all existing facilities.	<b>32.9% (139)</b>	23.6% (100)	27.7% (117)	15.8% (67)	423	
d. Other. Please describe, as part of Question No. 5 below.	21.1% (48)	13.6% (31)	19.3% (44)	<b>46.1% (105)</b>	228	